UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	·FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,336	06/28/2004	Giorgio De Cicco	07552.0032	9867
7590 07/25/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	
V	γ
ı	U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/500,336	DE CICCO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	oril 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 33-64 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-48 and 54-56 is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-48 and 54-56</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 33-35,52,53 and 57-64 is/are rejected	l.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 4/6/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions of Groups I-V are one unitary invention in that they all share the same special technical features as they all depend from claim 33. This is not found persuasive because as Applicant point out, the requirement for unity of invention is "Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The features of claim 33, which Applicant argues are special technical features; temperature sensor, a connecting portion, and a filter are part of all of the claims as all of the claims depend from claim 33. However, these features are special technical features as defined above as these features do not define the invention over the prior art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/06 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,336 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 33-35, 52, 53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Castle USPN 5261874 in view of Ahmad et al. USPN 5588959. Castle describes an extra-corporeal blood access sensing, and radiation method and apparatus comprises a line 14 for taking blood from a patient, a pump 18, and inlet 16 to return blood to a patient with sensor 57, a temperature sensor 19 connected to the line, a device 3 for measuring the intensity of the radiation, a connecting portion 4 (col. 5, line 68 through col. 6, line 5). The blood is subjected to electromagnetic waves (col. 1, lines 15-21).

Art Unit: 3761

The measuring is housed in housing 17 behind a window 32,34. Castle teaches a blood purification means (col. 4, lines 1-8; lines 49-62). The article of Castle further comprises a dilution none (col. 8, lines 27-43). Castle does not specifically disclose first and second signals, however, Castle does teach a means for controlling the temperature. Ahmad teaches a temperature control means for the benefit of controlling and/or changing the temperature of the blood returning to the patient (Ahmad col. 5, lines 20-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Castle with a temperature control means for the benefits taught in Ahmad

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,336 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jacqueline F Stephens Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3761

July 22, 2007